



Low-carbon energy transition: Why nuclear cannot benefit

111

Jochen Markard

Greenpeace Online Conference

October 14, 2020



Outline

- 1. Sustainability transitions: The bigger picture
- 2. Nuclear: A global industry in (slow) decline
- 3. Solution for climate change?

Rather not: Nuclear is expensive and might come too late



1 Sustainability transitions





Greenpeace, Oct 14, 2020





Sustainability Transitions

- To address grand sustainability challenges, we need fundamental changes in energy, transport, agriculture, and many other sectors
- Such large-scale changes are called "sustainability transitions"
- Examples of low-carbon innovations:
 - Wind & solar
 - Electric vehicles
 - Non-meat alternatives, organic food
 - Hydrogen, synfuels





Past transition: example







Development of (core) technology







Development of infrastructure



Central concept: Socio-technical system





Example: Germany







pre-development





Sustainability Transitions: Characteristics

- Complex interplay of different technologies
- Different phases of transitions
- Changes in several dimensions: Technology, policy, markets, businesses, consumers
- Transitions include innovation & decline







Technological innovation system (TIS) perspective

- Explain why a technology performs well or not so well
- Challenge: many different factors come into play, all changes interrelated, i.e. changes in one part of the system affect other parts
- Analytical dimensions:
 - Actors / firms
 - Policies / institutions
 - Innovation networks

Context





2 Nuclear power



Study together with Nuno Bento Noah Kittner Alejandro Nuñez-Jimenez



Our study

- Is the nuclear innovation system in a phase of global decline or not?
- Focus
 - construction industry
 - large reactors
- Scope
 - global
 - 1950 today
 - broad range of indicators



1988



Global diffusion





Global power generation and share





Generation capacity under construction



Data: IAEA PRIS database. Reactor constructions that involved two or more reactor suppliers appear twice (except industry consortia).



Owner-Supplier Networks



1950-1960

Reactors under construction: 30 New: 30 (3.4 GW) Suppliers: 15 (New: 15)



1990-2000 Reactors under construction: 114 New: 32 (27.2 GW) Suppliers: 17 (New: 1)



1960-1970

Reactors under construction: 137 New: 115 (67.0 GW) Suppliers: 31 (New: 16)



2000-2010 Reactors under construction: 103 New: 53 (49.4 GW) Suppliers: 19 (New: 4)



1970-1980

Reactors under construction: 346 New: 257 (226.1 GW) Suppliers: 29 (New: 4)



2010-2020

Reactors under construction: 129 New: 66 (69.5 GW) Suppliers: 18 (New: 0)



1980-1990 Reactors under construction: 328 New: 127 (118.0 GW) Suppliers: 25 (New: 4)



2020-2030 planned Reactors under construction: 59 New: 13 (13.3 GW) Suppliers: 15 (New: 0)





Future projections for nuclear



Year of publication of the projection

2020 - nuclear electricity projection
2030 - nuclear electricity projection

- --- 2020 nuclear share projection
 - 2030 nuclear share projection





Context developments

- Electricity market liberalization & IPPs
- Competing technologies: coal, gas, renewables
- Climate change
- Geopolitics
- Military



Cost reduction renewables





Overall assessment



Summary

- Many indicators point to a decline of nuclear particularly worrying: weakened industry base
- Also positive developments: new constructions, esp. China, hopes for SMRs
- Main challenge: cheap renewables
 - \rightarrow In liberalized markets, rather no new nuclear without subsidies





Conclusion & outlook

Energy & other sectors:

early stages of fundamental transformations

- Sector-coupling: electricity, heat, transport, industry plus: digitalization, demand side management
- Climate is a game changer:

Low-carbon technologies diffuse rapidly

Nuclear as a solution?

Rather not: high risks, high costs, slow, might come too late



Thank You!